Crop Insurance Update: How should we in Extension talk about crop insurance to farmers?

Paul D. Mitchell Agricultural & Applied Economics, UW-Madison North Central Farm Management Extension committee Madison, WI May 13, 2009

Goal Today

Overview how my crop insurance extension has evolved over the last few years
Seeking feedback on what you think
Especially seeking ideas on what you find that works and does not work in your efforts
Generate discussion on crop insurance and risk management extension education

Overview

Present slides from my presentations to give you an idea of what I do
Go very fast
Focus on the <u>method</u> of presentation, not on the <u>content</u> of the slides



Types of Policies

APH (MPCI): Actual Production History

Individual Yield Insurance

CRC: Crop Revenue Coverage

Individual Revenue Insurance

GRP: Group Risk Plan

Area-wide (County) Yield Insurance

GRIP: Group Risk Income Protection

Area-wide (County) Revenue Insurance





Your Choices

 Once choose which (if any) policy you want, you then have other choices

- <u>Coverage Level</u>: sets your guarantee level, or your "deductible"
- Price Election: how much you want to be paid when you have a loss
- <u>Unit Structure</u>: legally define how group insured fields
 - Optional, Basic, or Enterprise units

Crop Insurance/Risk Management for Vegetable Producers

Primarily Education
What is Risk? What is Risk Management?
Where crop insurance fits into risk management for vegetable growers
Explain specifics of AGR-Lite

Major Categories of Agricultural Risk

Production and Technical Risk

- 2. Market and Price Risk
- 3. Financial Risk
- 4. Human Resource Risk
- 5. Legal and Institutional Risk

Go over each and provide examples

Tools to Manage Risks

Numerous risk management tools exist, but they generally fall into these 3 categories

- 1) Reduce variability of outcomes
- 2) Maintain decision making flexibility
- 3) Improve risk bearing capability

I'll overview some tools to manage these risks and how they fit into these categories to give you the idea

Example Tools to Reduce Income Variability

Insurance

- Crop insurance (more on this later)
- Business liability insurance

Inputs

- Productive and protective inputs
- Legal advice
- Diversification



Hints for Using Crop Insurance

Boil it down to two pages with bullet points of recommendations

13

Hints for Using Crop Insurance in 2008

Why think about crop insurance this year?
Yield Risk: (probably) the same as it has always been

Price Risk: increased because volatility has increased with crop prices

 Investment Risk: high input costs mean larger investment in planted fields: want more protection against crop failure/loss

CRC Revenue Insurance will be popular

- Price and investment risks are more important this year
- CRC offers price risk protection based on CBOT futures prices
- CRC offers a revenue guarantee to protect your investment in crop inputs
- Can market more aggressively since you will have the grain or the indemnities to buy grain at existing market prices if you have a yield loss
- Dairy/Livestock farmers: CRC means can buy grain at existing market prices if have yield loss









GRP/GRIP

Yield Basis: Value of GRP/GRIP depends on how your yield moves with county yield
Potentially useful for irrigated farmers or those with short (or no) yield histories

- Offset irrigation costs in dry years
- Use while build yield history
- Combine GRP/GRIP with crop hail
- GRIP: cheap way to get price protection
- Larger/low risk farms use it as well





Summary and Outputs

Fact Sheets and meeting overheads

Parts get quoted in newspapersSeems to get more "traffic"

 Does not change much from year to year, so hard to write/update



Benefit of Crop Insurance

 Crop yield is uncertain: money borrowed, inputs bought, crop planted without knowing for certain how much yield will you get at harvest

 Each possible yield has a probability and farmers usually have some idea of the likelihood of each yield outcome

Implies a yield distribution or histogram



Effect of Crop Insurance

With crop insurance you pay a premium no matter what happens, and receive an indemnity only if your yield is below the yield guarantee
The premium reduces your returns in all outcomes (shifts the distribution down/left)
The indemnity puts a "floor" on your returns so you will receive at least your yield guarantee (piles up histogram at the yield guarantee)











APH Net Return (\$/ac) Dryland							
Corn,	High	Risk A	dams	Cour	nty		
Coverage		Averag	e Yield (bi	u/ac)			
Level	<u>120</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>140</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>160</u>		
50%	-0.41	-0.25	-0.10	0.03	0.17		
55%	-0.30	-0.07	0.13	0.33	0.52		
60%	0.13	0.43	0.71	0.98	1.23		
65%	0.27	0.66	1.03	1.39	1.73		
70%	0.68	1.19	1.67	2.13	2.57		
75%	0.62	1.28	1.90	2.49	3.05		
80%	-0.15	0.69	1.49	2.24	2.95		
85%	-3.03	-1.93	-0.91	0.05	0.95		

APH Net Return (\$/ac) Soybeans High Risk Adams County								
Coverage		Average	e Yield (bi	u/ac)				
Level	<u>170</u>	<u>180</u>	<u>190</u>	<u>200</u>	<u>210</u>			
50%	0.13	0.20	0.26	0.31	0.37			
55%	0.21	0.30	0.38	0.47	0.54			
60%	0.32	0.44	0.56	0.67	0.78			
65%	0.37	0.54	0.69	0.85	0.99			
70%	0.44	0.65	0.86	1.06	1.25			
75%	0.38	0.67	0.92	1.18	1.41			
80%	0.11	0.46	0.78	1.09	1.38			
85%	-0.54	-0.08	0.30	0.68	1.02			





Do similar analysis for GRP

Average GRP net return (bu/ac) by county
 Linear time trend regression of county yield and estimated standard error of regression
 WI: for corn must choose yield per planted acre vs yield per harvested acre

35

Is GRP a good deal for my Corn and Soybeans?

Bulletins posted on my webpage (soybeans soon)
 Analyze county yield data and estimate the expected return to GRP in <u>bu/ac</u> for each Wisconsin county that has GRP

- Expected return = long run average <u>net</u> return to GRP if everything constant over many years
 If GRP is valuable for a county, GRIP will be
 - valuable too, as it adds price protection
- If GRP is not valuable for a county, GRIP can still make sense, to get the price protection













Summary and Outputs

Fact Sheets and meeting overheads

Tables of numbers and plots of net returns by coverage level: Too complicated!!!
GRP maps pretty, but small market

 Still only presents average net return to crop insurance—ignores risk benefit



Wisconsin farmers and crop insurance

Relative to neighboring states, WI a low participation state in crop insurance
CRC the most popular coverage, then APH, then GRIP, then GRP
APH CAT policies used by sizeable minority

WI vs. neighboring states % planted acres insured in 2007						
State	Corn	Soybeans	Wheat			
IA	92%	75%	24%			
IL	78%	71%	47%			
MN	91%	93%	91%			
MI	67%	66%	56%			
WI	64%	70%	41%			

WI corn policies in 2008						
	% planted acres	% insured acres	% policies sold	Avg. Units/Policy		
APH CAT	6.5%	10.9%	10.7%	1.03		
APH BuyUp	12.2%	20.5%	30.9%	2.43		
CRC BuyUp	40.7%	68.3%	61.3%	3.24		
GRIP BuyUp	4.9%	8.3%	4.6%	1.21		
GRP CAT	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%	1.00		
GRP BuyUp	1.5%	2.6%	3.1%	1.11		
All Total	60%			2.83		

<section-header> WI Farmer Practices Lots of WI grain acres could be insured CRC most popular among those buying insurance Slightly larger than average sized farms buy it Use more than average number of units APH popular among smaller farms Use fewer than average number of units GRIP (and GRP) popular among largest farms

Crop Insurance in Dane County 2008

	10	- 1 A.	100 A.	
Crop	Acres	Policies	Liability	
Corn (1 st)	62.3%	41.9%	69.6%	Using 2007
Forage Prd (3 rd /4 th)	5.5%	7.1%	2.3%	Dane County
Forage Seeding	0.3%	1.3%	0.1%	Corn = 63%
Green Peas	0.1%	0.6%	0.1%	Soybeans =
Hybrid Seedcorn	0.7%	0.4%	0.8%	79% acres
Oats	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	insured in
Soybeans (2 nd)	26.8%	32.3%	22.9%	2008
Sweet Corn	0.1%	0.5%	0.1%	
Торассо	0.3%	6.6%	1.7%	
Wheat (3 rd /4 th)	3.9%	8.9%	2.2%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

0,

Policies in Dane County 2008							
Crop	Plan	Acres	Policies	Liability	Acres	Policies	Liabilit
Corn	APH	29,336	192	9,312,799	24%	32%	14%
Corn	CRC	88,062	377	53,244,266	71%	63%	79%
Corn	GRIP	6,142	24	4,542,276	5%	4%	7%
Corn	GRP	622	6	475,320	1%	1%	19
		Sec. 1				1929	
Soybeans	APH	10,845	118	2,665,874	20%	26%	129
Soybeans	CRC	41,555	335	18,827,303	78%	73%	84%
Soybeans	GRIP	833	7	706,663	2%	2%	3%
Soybeans	GRP	132	2	82,417	0%	0%	0%

Corn Coverage Levels in Dane County 2008

er a a :		7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
6.52	CF	RC	AF	ΡΗ
Cvg	Policies	Acres	Policies	Acres
50	1	215	78	17,868
55	1	94	2	89
60	6	777	1	285
65	44	9,065	52	5,957
70	147	32,588	40	3,651
75	146	33,329	19	1,486
80	20	6,802	1920	
85	12	5,192	913-5A	
	Cvg 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85	Cvg Policies 50 Policies 50 1 55 1 60 6 65 44 70 147 75 146 80 20 85 12	CVG Policies Acres 50 Policies Acres 50 011 215 55 011 94 60 6 777 65 44 9,065 70 147 32,588 75 146 33,329 80 20 6,802 85 12 5,192	CVg Policies Acres Policies 50 Policies Acres Policies 50 1 215 78 55 1 94 22 60 6 777 1 65 44 9,065 52 70 147 32,588 400 75 146 33,329 19 80 20 6,802 85 12 5,192

Most Popular CRC: 70%-75% APH: 50% CAT and then 65%



Experience with Crop Insurance

Loss Ratio measures insurance performance
 Loss Ratio = Indemnities/Premiums

 Loss Ratio of 1.5 means for every \$1.00 in premiums collected, policy pays out \$1.50

 Crop insurance: Subsidized premiums, farmers and government each pay part

 Program loss ratio

Indemnity/(Govt. + Farmer Premium)
 Farmer loss ratio = Indemnity/Farmer Premium

53

WI Crop Insurance for Corn in 2007							
	total prem. /A	farmer prem. /A	indem./A	program loss ratio	farmer loss ratio		
APH CAT	7.48	10 - 163	1.97	0.26	-		
APH BuyUp	28.30	11.48	29.64	1.05	2.58		
CRC BuyUp	53.03	23.16	42.75	0.81	1.85		
GRIP BuyUp	65.90	29.52	29.49	0.45	1.00		
GRP CAT	2.20	21-2-2	0.00	0.00			
GRP BuyUp	11.20	4.84	2.44	0.22	0.50		
All Total	45.48	19.50	30.97	0.68	1.59		

-- Farmers pay no per acre premiums, so no farmer loss ratio.





Main Point

 Farmers, on average over the whole state, generally win on crop insurance policies

- Especially in the north
- Especially for soybeans

 Main corn/soybean counties "carry" the crop insurance program for the remaining counties
 NE, IA, IL, MN











Coverage Levels								
Coverage		Sweet	Snap	Green				
Level	Potatoes	Corn	Beans	Peas				
50%	40%	27%	33%	25%				
55%	2%	3%	2%	2%				
60%	9%	9%	13%	11%				
65%	12%	19%	20%	17%				
70%	21%	27%	20%	31%				
75%	16%	16%	13%	15%				
50%/	50%/CAT and 70% most common							











Summary of Farmer Loss Ratios On average across WI, farmers generally make money with crop insurance In some counties and for some crops, this has not been the case Insurance has risk management benefits not captured by the loss ratio Not only increases average net returns, also reduces net returns variability with yield floor Consider at least CAT: \$300/crop/county Use as many Optional units as possible

Summary and Outputs

Meeting overheadsMaps are prettyCreates discussion

 Loss ratios are still only average net return to crop insurance, still ignores risk benefit



Questions?

Paul D. Mitchell UW-Madison Ag & Applied Economics Office: (608) 265-6514 Cell: (608) 320-1162 Email: pdmitchell@wisc.edu Extension Web Page: www.aae.wisc.edu/mitchell/extension.htm