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2012 Farm Bill?

- Senate passed Farm Bill on June 21
  - Agriculture Reform, Food & Jobs Act (S. 3240)
- House Ag Committee passed Farm Bill July 1
  - Federal Agriculture & Risk Management Act (H.R. 6083)
- 2008 Farm Bill expires on September 30, 2012
  - Will Congress pass a 2012 Farm Bill?
  - Will Congress pass Farm Bill extension?
  - Congress is running out of time!!!
Overview

• Federal Fiscal Reality
  • Big Picture, where USDA and Ag fits in
• Likely Farm Bill Program Changes
  • Commodity Support
  • Crop Insurance
• Dairy covered by Mark Stephenson
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US Monthly Unemployment Rate

8.3% in July 2012
Food Stamp Recipients at Record Highs

46.6 Million in June 2012
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Federal Budget Situation

Federal Government: Current Expenditures (FGEXPND)
Federal Government Current Receipts (FGRECPNT)
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Real Net Farm Income in the USA

Slightly higher in recent years, and more volatile
Federal Fiscal Reality

- US Economy still big trouble: Worst economic problem since Great Depression / WW II
- Recovery of some sort seems to be happening very slowly, but economy still fragile
- US Federal Government stimulated economy with Monetary and Fiscal policy
  - Historically low interest rates
  - Huge federal debt
- 2012 Farm Bill: Outbreak of Fiscal Austerity at a time of high (and volatile) Net Farm Income
USDA spends about $150-$100 billion/year, mix of mandatory and discretionary
CBO Baseline for 2013 onward is $100 billion per year

Most USDA budget is mandatory and for Nutrition Programs (SNAP, WIC, School Lunch)
Government payments, 2000-2011f

1/ Production flexibility contract payments and direct payments whereby payment rates are fixed by legislation.
2/ Counter-cyclical payments, loan deficiency payments, marketing loan gains, certificate exchange gains, and ACRE payments whereby commodity payment rates vary with crop prices.
3/ All other payments include disaster relief payments, tobacco transition payments, and dairy program payments.

Source: FSA, NRCS, and CCC, p – preliminary; f – forecast.
CBO Baseline Projection

- USDA spending over 10 years = $993 billion with current Farm Bill, or Annual spending of about $100 billion
  - $77.2 billion Nutrition (SNAP, WIC, Schools)
  - $9.1 billion Crop Insurance
  - $6.3 billion Commodity Support
  - $6.1 billion Conservation
  - <$1 billion Everything Else
- Senate and House Ag Committee version cuts range 2.3% to 3.5% ($23-$35 billion over 10 years)
Projected Farm Bill Spending Changes

Senate
S. 3240
Net: -$23.14 billion

House Ag
H.R. 6083
Net: -$35.07 billion
Figure 1: Projected Changes in Program Spending by Title for the 2012 Farm Bill FY 2013-2022
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Senate</th>
<th>House Ag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
<td>-1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop Insurance</td>
<td>+0.5%</td>
<td>+1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity Programs</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
<td>-2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop Insurance + Commodity</td>
<td>-1.4%</td>
<td>-1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>-2.3%</strong></td>
<td><strong>-3.5%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Senate and House closer than most realize
- Really debate about **how much** to cut Food Stamps and **how** to support commodity ag
- Could a compromise appear quickly???
USDA Budget Summary & Proposed Cuts

• Most USDA spending is mandatory spending on Nutrition Programs (~75%)
• Almost all remaining spending is for Crop Insurance, Commodity Support and Conservation Programs
• Senate vs House Ag debate is about
  • How much cut Nutrition: $400 million or $1.6 billion?
  • Both decreases commodity ag support by $1.4 billion (from $15.3 to $13.9 billion/yr, or 9.1% cut), but disagree on how much to emphasize crop insurance

• Let’s look at specific proposed changes to commodity ag support
Commodity Programs

- Direct Payments: both eliminate
- Counter-Cyclical Payments: both eliminate
- ACRE Program: both eliminate
- Marketing Assistance Loans and Loan Deficiency Payments: both retain, keep same loan rates
- Disaster Programs: both eliminate SURE, keep other programs (LIP, LFP, ELAP, TAP)
- Differ on farm safety net
  - Senate creates Agriculture Risk Coverage (ARC)
  - House Ag creates Price Loss Coverage (PLC) and Revenue Loss Coverage (RLC)
Senate’s Agriculture Risk Coverage

- ARC replaces DCP and ACRE with Revenue Support
- Farmer chooses farm or county-level support, irrevocable
- Revenue Guarantee: 89% x 5-yr average (farm or county) yield x 5-yr Olympic average of national price
- Actual Revenue: Actual yield (farm or county) x 5-month average of national price
  - Sept to Jan average so not wait 1 year for payment
- ARC payment = ARC Guarantee – Actual Revenue, up to 10% of Revenue Guarantee
- Producer pays first 11% of losses, ARC pays next 10% and crop insurance pays any greater losses
House Ag Committee Programs

- Farm owners choose either Price Loss Coverage (PLC) or Revenue Loss Coverage (RLC)
  - Choose for each crop separately, Irrevocable choice
- PLC is essentially CCP, but with higher target prices
- PLC triggered if Effective Price < Reference Price
- PLC Payment Rate = 85% x (Ref Price – Eff Price) x CCP Payment Yield

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Target Price</th>
<th>Reference Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>$2.63</td>
<td>$3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybeans</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td>$8.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oats</td>
<td>$1.79</td>
<td>$2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>$4.17</td>
<td>$5.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
House Ag Committee’s RLC

- RLC payments if Actual County Revenue < County Revenue Guarantee
- County Revenue Guarantee = 85% x 5-yr Olympic avg county yield x 5-yr Olympic avg national price
- Actual County Revenue = actual county yield x 5-month average of national price
- RLC payment = Cnty Revenue Guarantee – Actual Cnty Revenue, up to 10% of Cnty Revenue Guarantee
- Producer pays first 15% of losses, RLC pays next 10% and crop insurance pays any greater losses
Administrative Changes

- **Payment Limits**: currently $105,000
  - Senate: ARC = $50,000, LDP = $75,000
  - House Ag: PLC + RLC = $125,000, none on LDP
- **AGI Limits**: currently separate farm and non-farm AGI, with $500,000 non-farm AGI and $750,000 farm AGI
  - Senate: combine and set AGI limit at $750,000
  - House Ag: combine and set AGI at $950,000
Commodity Program Comparisons

- Senate's ARC and House Ag’s RLC are very similar
  - ARC trigger is 89%, RLC trigger is 85% of average
  - ARC offers county or farm-level, RLC only county-level
  - Both sit on top of crop insurance, much like SURE, but crop specific
- House Ag keeps CCP, just renames it and raises target prices, way to keep traditional program
- Both tighten payment limits and AGI thresholds
- Nor really major differences, the joint committee to reconcile bills would not have a lot of work
- The $100 billion question: Can the full House pass the Bill?
Crop Insurance

- Senate and House Ag both create Supplemental Coverage Option (SCO)
- Farmer can buy county-level crop insurance (GRIP) to cover part of individual crop insurance deductible
- Suppose farmer has 70% Rev Protection: 30% deductible
- Can buy 90% GRIP coverage as SCO for losses above 10% and less than 30% (when crop insurance kicks in)
- If enrolled in ARC, only gets SCO payments for losses between 21% and 30% (when crop insurance kicks in)
- If enrolled in RLC, only gets SCO payments for losses between 25% and 30% (when crop insurance kicks in)
Effect of Revenue Protection Coverage Level on Losses Covered by SCO with ARC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rev Prot Covg Level</th>
<th>Deductible</th>
<th>Farmer</th>
<th>ARC</th>
<th>SCO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Effect of Revenue Protection Coverage Level on Losses Covered by SCO with RLC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rev Prot Covg Level</th>
<th>Deductible</th>
<th>Farmer</th>
<th>RLC</th>
<th>SCO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCO Main Idea

- SCO layers GRIP with RP and ARC/RLC
- Farmers can reduce RP coverage and use SCO with “free” ARC/RLC coverage
- GRIP premium for use as SCO is subsidized at 70% (farmer pays 30% of fair premium)
- Problem: “basis risk” for GRIP coverage of RP deductible
  - How often will GRIP pay when farmer has actual loss?
Premium Subsidy Changes

• Reduced Premium Subsidies for various cases
• Premium subsidies reduced 15% points if AGI > $750,000
• Sod Saver: reduced premium subsidies 50% points for 4 years if break native sod for crop production
  • Senate whole US, House Ag if in Prairie Pothole region
• Senate only: Requires conservation compliance within 5 years and wetland compliance immediately to receive premium subsidies
A Farm Bill will eventually pass, maybe even by Sept. 30!

• Many swing states are agricultural states
• How concerned will House and Republicans get about not passing a Farm Bill?
What Can Wisconsin Expect?

• Likely not major decrease in average federal spending to support commodity ag (9.1% cut, 2.4% to 3.5% total decrease), but shift in how money spent
• Federal policy moving to greater emphasis on crop insurance and revenue support, not income/price support
• Want farmers to use federal programs to manage risk, share the costs in a public-private partnership
• More individual responsibility: develop risk management program for your own needs
• Risk management is more than just crop insurance and federal programs, but both can be a part of good risk management
## Crop Insurance in WI and Neighboring States

### % Planted Acres Insured in 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Corn</th>
<th>Soybeans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Wisconsin has room to grow for participation in crop insurance programs and increased coverage levels
- Expect continued push from crop insurance companies to increase sales in WI
What Can Wisconsin Expect?

- Ag Lenders play major role in ag risk management
  - Encourage, recommend, require risk management to protect borrowers and themselves
  - Help farmers make good crop insurance choices and integrate crop insurance with federal programs
  - More than just crop insurance and federal programs, but both can be a part of risk management
  - Need to understand crop insurance options & federal programs like ARC/RLC and SCO
- More farmer responsibility for risk management means more individual responsibility for ag lenders as well
  - Those helping their borrowers are more likely to prosper
Thanks for Your Attention!
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